UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,031	11/29/2001	Ricky Amos	YOR920010633US1	9669
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			EXAMINER	
			LANDAU, MATTHEW C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/995,031	AMOS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matthew C. Landau	2815	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ITION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ry Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO lich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ined statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Sec.	sponding number of finally rejected claims. ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
_	ee attached Notice of Nort-Compilant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	 ole if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.7-11 and 14 . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ill not be entered, or b) ⊠ will be entered and an explanation of below or appended.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	icient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	he status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe See Continuation Sheet.	s NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO13. ☐ Other:	/SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/Matthew C. Landau/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that "because the prior art fails to disclose forming a Re-containing gate from Re2(CO)10 CVD precursors, the applied art fails to teach or suggest an interface trapped charge density of about 3E 10cm2 eV-1" and that "Applicants provide the claimed trapped charge density by depositing a Re containing gate atop a Hf-based gate dielectric using a Re2(CO)10 CVD precursor". However, according to Applicant's specification, the claimed interface trapped charge density is obtained using a high pressure hydrogen anneal (see paragraphs [0054] and [0058]). At no point does the specification suggest the interface trapped charge density is a result of the particular precursor used in the deposition process. As indicated in the Final Rejection, Huang discloses annealing a MOSFET using a high pressure hydrogen anneal similar to that disclosed by Applicant. Therefore, after the combination (which incorporates the high pressure anneal taught by Huang), the gate inherently has the claimed interface trapped charge density, since it is annealed under that same conditions disclosed by Applicant. Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).